

Selected references on gender bias

1. Anderson AJ, Ahmad AS, King EB, Lindsey AP, Feyre RP, Ragone S, Kim S, 2015. The effectiveness of three strategies to reduce the influence of bias in evaluations of female leaders. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 45:522-539. doi: 10.1111/jasp.12317.
2. Anderson R, Guerreiro M, Smith J, 2016. Are All Biases Bad? Collaborative Grounded Theory in Developmental Evaluation of Education Policy. *Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation* 12:44-57.
3. Brown V, Geis FL, 1984. Turning lead into gold--Evaluations of men and women leaders and the alchemy of social consensus. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 46:811-824. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.46.4.811.
4. Butler D, Geis FL, 1990. Non-verbal affect responses to male and female leaders--implications for leadership evaluations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 58:48-59. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.58.1.48.
5. Devine PG, Forscher PS, Austin AJ, Cox WT, 2012. Long-term reduction in implicit race bias: A prejudice habit-breaking intervention. *Journal of experimental social psychology* 48:1267-1278.
6. Freund KM, Raj A, Kaplan SE, Terrin N, Breeze JL, Urech TH, Carr PL, 2016. Inequities in Academic Compensation by Gender: A Follow-up to the National Faculty Survey Cohort Study. *Academic Medicine* 91:1068-1073. doi: 10.1097/acm.0000000000001250.
7. Goldin C, Rouse C, 2000. Orchestrating impartiality: The impact of "blind" auditions on female musicians. *American Economic Review* 90:715-741. doi: 10.1257/aer.90.4.715.
8. Leslie S-J, Cimpian A, Meyer M, Freeland E, 2015. Expectations of brilliance underlie gender distributions across academic disciplines. *Science* 347:262-265. doi: 10.1126/science.1261375.
9. MacNell L, Driscoll A, Hunt AN, 2015. What's in a Name: Exposing Gender Bias in Student Ratings of Teaching. *Innovative Higher Education* 40:291-303. doi: 10.1007/s10755-014-9313-4.
10. Milkman KL, Akinola M, Chugh D, 2015. What Happens Before? A Field Experiment Exploring How Pay and Representation Differentially Shape Bias on the Pathway Into Organizations. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 100:1678-1712. doi: 10.1037/apl0000022.
11. Moss-Racusin CA, Dovidio JF, Brescoll VL, Graham MJ, Handelsman J, 2012. Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109:16474-16479. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1211286109.
12. Rojahn K, Willemsen TM, 1994. The evaluation of effectiveness and likability of gender-role congruent and gender-role incongruent leaders. *Sex Roles* 30:109-119. doi: 10.1007/bf01420743.
13. Sandstrom U, Hallsten M, 2008. Persistent nepotism in peer-review. *Scientometrics* 74:175-189. doi: 10.1007/s11192-008-0211-3.
14. Steinpreis RE, Anders KA, Ritzke D, 1999. The impact of gender on the review of the curricula vitae of job applicants and tenure candidates: A national empirical study. *Sex Roles* 41:509-528. doi: 10.1023/a:1018839203698.
15. Trix F, Psenka C, 2003. Exploring the color of glass: Letters of recommendation for female and male medical faculty. *Discourse & Society* 14:191-220.
16. van Dijk D, Manor O, Carey LB, 2014. Publication metrics and success on the academic job market. *Current Biology* 24:R516-R517. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.04.039.
17. Wenneras C, Wold A, 1997. Nepotism and sexism in peer-review. *Nature* 387:341-343. doi: 10.1038/387341a0.